House Engrossed

FILED KEN BENNETT SECRETARY OF STATE

State of Arizona House of Representatives Fiftieth Legislature First Regular Session 2011

HOUSE CONCURRENT MEMORIAL 2002

A CONCURRENT MEMORIAL

URGING THE UNITED STATES CONGRESS TO REMOVE THE GRAY WOLF FROM THE ENDANGERED SPECIES LIST.

(TEXT OF BILL BEGINS ON NEXT PAGE)

- 1 -

To the Congress of the United States of America:

Your memorialist respectfully requests:

Whereas, the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) listed the gray wolf as an endangered species in June 1976; and

Whereas, in January 1998 the USFWS officially designated the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area in Arizona and New Mexico for reintroduction of the Mexican gray wolf as a nonessential experimental population under section 10(j) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (ESA); and

Whereas, the 1996 Environmental Impact Statement under which reintroduction is occurring called for the release of fourteen family groups over five years with the goal of reaching a population of at least 100 wild Mexican gray wolves in the Blue Range Wolf Recovery Area; and

Whereas, after 13 years and an expenditure of more than \$24 million, \$6 million of which was provided by the Arizona Game and Fish Department, the wolf population is currently estimated to be between 42 and 60 wolves; and

Whereas, after 34 years of protection under the ESA, including 13 years of reintroduction efforts, efforts to recover the Mexican gray wolf have failed, brought on by delays in federal decision making over virtually all aspects of Mexican gray wolf recovery that are due in part to litigation filed by special interest organizations such as the Center for Biological Diversity, WildEarth Guardians and Rewilding Institute. This has forced the USFWS to cease operating in accordance with a policy known as SOP 13, which, in accordance with the nonessential experimental population rule and the USFWS Mexican wolf management plan issued in 1998, allowed the removal of any wolf that was believed to have committed three livestock depredation incidents over a period of one year. Further, USFWS withdrew from the Mexican gray wolf Adaptive Management Oversight Committee, which was designed to allow agencies and other stakeholders to have ongoing input into the reintroduction project; and

Whereas, USFWS further agreed with special interest litigants to reconsider and modify the current recovery plan; and

Whereas, the northern Rocky Mountain distinct population segment of the gray wolf was also reintroduced and exceeded the USFWS recovery level of 300 wolves and thirty breeding pairs in 2002; and

Whereas, population estimates for the northern Rocky Mountain gray wolf include a minimum of 1,700 animals, which are well distributed among the states of Wyoming, Idaho and Montana; and

Whereas, the recovery of the northern Rocky Mountain gray wolf was possible only because of the success of the North American Model for Management of Fish and Wildlife and the historic management and stewardship of ungulates by state fish and wildlife agencies and sportsmen; and

Whereas, USFWS called for delisting of the northern Rocky Mountain gray wolf under the Bush and Obama administrations, thereby returning management responsibility to the respective state wildlife agencies; and

- 1 -

Whereas, special interest groups, including the Center for Biological Diversity, the Sierra Club, the Humane Society of the United States, Earth Justice on behalf of Defenders of Wildlife and others filed litigation calling for relisting and protection of the northern Rocky Mountain gray wolf under the ESA. This litigation has been upheld despite the fact that target recovery numbers have been exceeded; and

Whereas, the uncontrolled management of the northern Rocky Mountain gray wolf has resulted in the near decimation of several key moose and elk herds and in the economic loss of tens of thousands of cattle, sheep, horses and other domestic livestock; and

Whereas, the northern Rocky Mountain wolf recovery effort clearly demonstrates the underlying objectives of special interest groups, through wasteful litigation and attempts to create new legal technicalities and to exploit federal reimbursement programs to pay for such litigation, to give the federal government control over selected species while continuing their endless assault on the rights of states to fulfill their management responsibility for wildlife within their jurisdictional boundaries; and

Whereas, current attempts to create an indefinite ESA listing of wolf populations and to micromanage state wildlife management decisions demonstrate the failure to fulfill the ESA's promises; and

Whereas, the Bush and Obama administrations have shown their inability to fulfill the ethical and legal obligations to return recovered wolf populations to state wildlife protection; and

Whereas, the Arizona Game and Fish Commission and the Arizona Game and Fish Department are recognized for their expertise in managing Arizona's wildlife and have a trust responsibility under title 17, Arizona Revised Statutes, to manage that wildlife, both resident and migratory, native or introduced, which is statutorily designated as property of the state; and

Whereas, the Arizona Game and Fish Department and the Arizona Game and Fish Commission are the most competent authorities to manage resident species for their sustained use and enjoyment; and

Whereas, the Arizona Game and Fish Commission and the Western Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies have voted to endorse immediate delisting of gray wolves from the ESA and to allow this species to be managed by respective state wildlife agencies; and

Whereas, the State of Arizona, the White Mountain Apache Indian Tribe and various local governments and stakeholders, coupled with conservation efforts in Mexico and the State of New Mexico, are willing and able to use incentives and interdiction measures without being encumbered by the gridlock resulting from federal listing and litigation to increase the wolf population in the state to levels that would establish and maintain a population of Mexican gray wolves that is self-sustaining and managed at levels sufficient to meet scientifically valid population objectives while preserving sustainable populations of ungulates and other wildlife species; and

- 2 -

1 2 5

6

7

9 10 11

8

12 13 14

Whereas, Congressional delisting prevents unacceptable delays to much-needed recovery of some of the west's most important wilderness herds of elk and moose and prevents the states from exercising their right to restore balance within the ecosystem.

Wherefore your memorialist, the House of Representatives of the State of Arizona, the Senate concurring, prays:

- 1. That the United States Congress take immediate action to delist the gray wolf from the Endangered Species Act and return management of the gray wolf to the states, unencumbered by federal interference through the Endangered Species Act or any other law or regulation.
- 2. That the Secretary of State of the State of Arizona transmit copies of this Memorial to the President of the United States Senate, the Speaker of the United States House of Representatives and each Member of Congress from the State of Arizona.

PASSED BY THE HOUSE MARCH 9, 2011.

PASSED BY THE SENATE APRIL 20, 2011.

FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE APRIL 20, 2011.

Passed the House March 9, 2011	Passed the Senate April 20, 20 //
by the following vote: 39 Ayes,	by the following vote: 21 Ayes,
Not Voting	Nays, Not Voting
Speaker of the House	President of the Senate
Chery Laube Chief Clerk of the House	Secretary of the Senate
•	•
	EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENT OF ARIZONA OFFICE OF SECRETARY OF STATE
	This Resolution received by the Secretary of State
	this 20th day of April , 20 11
Н.С.М. 2002	at 8:32 o'clock M. Secretary of State
	1 [

. . .